RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER FEB 0 9 2009

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Patent Application of:

Inventor(s)

Pedlow, Jr., et al.

Filed

3/8/2004

Application No.

10/795,929

Confirmation No.

1819

Group Art Unit

2136

:

Examiner

Johnson, Carlton

Docket Number

SNY-T5718.02

Title

Default Encryption and Decryption

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING / FAX TRANSMISSION / ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

[] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[] transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. [X] transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Applicant, Assignee or Reg. Representative: Jerry A. Mitler, Reg. No. 30779

Signature: /Jerry A. Miller 30779/ Date: 2/9/2009

INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

The undersigned on Feb. 3, 2009 attempted to contact Examiner Johnson regarding the outstanding Office Action and left a message for him. The outstanding Office Action includes an explanation of reasons for disagreement with Applicant's position that appears to end midsentence at the top of page 4. Due to the short time remaining upon discovery of this error, the undersigned wished to ask for clarification from Examiner Johnson, or to have the Office Action re-sent in corrected form and a new period for response started.

Having received no response to the message, a second message was left on Feb. 5, 2009

Application No.: 10/795,929

followed by a call to the Examiner's supervisor Nasser Moazzami. The undersigned reached Examiner Moazzami and was assured that Examiner Johnson would call. As of the afternoon of Feb. 9, 2009, no returned telephone call has been received.

In order to fully understand the Office's position, the undersigned hereby earnestly solicits a new Office Action with a new period for response in which the explanation initiated by the Examiner is completed. Without such explanation, it is submitted that the Office Action is incomplete under MPEP 2106 (II) which essentially requires that an Office Action provide a complete explanation for the reasons for rejection. In view of the abrupt termination of the sentence at the top of page 4, Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action is incomplete since Applicants do not have the full benefit of the Examiner's reasoning for disagreement with Applicants' position. In addition, the undersigned is now left with an inadequate amount of time to consult with Applicants' and their in-house Counsel in order to determine an appropriate response prior to the expiration of the shortened three month period for response.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jerry A. Miller 30779/

Jerry A. Miller Registration No. 30,779

Dated: Feb. 9, 2009

Please Send Correspondence to: Miller Patent Services 2500 Dockery Lane Raleigh, NC 27606 Phone: (919) 816-9981 Fax: (919) 816-9982 Customer Number 24337

Application No.: 10/795,929